



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/742,653	12/21/2000	Michael Hannington	AVERP2808USA

EXAMINER
----------

EGAN, BRIAN P.

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

1772

20040524

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, the Applicant filed an information disclosure statement on March 11, 2004 prior to filing the Appeal Brief. The information disclosure statement has been considered and filed on record for purposes of appeal. A copy is attached hereto for the Applicant's record.

Brian P. Egan 5/24/04

Form PTO-1449 (Modified)  <b>LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b>  (Use several sheets if necessary)	Atty Docket No.	Serial No.
	AVERP2808USA	09/742,653
	Applicant: Michael Hannington	
Filing Date	Group	
12/21/00	7502 1772	

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date (MM/YYYY)	Name	Class	Sub-class	Filing Date if Appropriate
BPE	4,556,595	12/03/1985	Ochi	428	143	—
BPE	4,721,638	01/26/1988	Matsuguchi et al.	428	40	—

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date (MM/YYYY)	Country	Class	Sub-class	Translation	
						Yes	No
BPE	99/15600	01.04.1999	WO	—	—		
BPE	0 429 269 A1	19.11.1990	EP	—	—		

## OTHER ART

Examiner Initial	Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.

EXAMINER	<i>B. P. E.</i>	DATE CONSIDERED	5/24/04
----------	-----------------	-----------------	---------

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

## Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449 (Modified)

The identification of any reference is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such publication, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given reference may have a later effective date than first seems apparent or the reference may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any reference is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.

R:\Heidi\Avery\2808\2808USA Sup IDS2.wpd (IDS1449.FRM) (2/97)